Strong-Field Many-Body Physics and the Giant Enhancement in the High-Harmonic Spectrum of Xenon
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We resolve an open question about the origin of the giant enhancement in the high-harmonic generation spectrum of atomic xenon around 100 eV. By solving the many-body time-dependent Schrödinger equation with all 4$d$, 5$s$, and 5$p$ orbitals active, we truly demonstrate the enhancement results from the collective many-body excitation induced by the returning photoelectron via two-body interchannel interactions. Without the many-body interactions, which promote a 4$d$ electron into the 5$p$ vacancy created by strong-field ionization, no collective excitation and no enhancement in the high-harmonic generation spectrum exist.
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High-harmonic generation (HHG) is one of the most fundamental processes in attosecond physics [1–3]. Initially, HHG was primarily used to generate attosecond light pulses [4] by converting light from the near-infrared (NIR) into the ultraviolet (UV) [5,6] and x-ray regime [7]. The shortest pulse generated is as short as 67 attoseconds [8]. Combined with the NIR driving pulses, pump-probe experiments [9–14] with a time delay control of a few attoseconds [10] and below [15] can be performed.

More recently, the HHG process has also been used as a probe mechanism to study electronic structure [16–19] and electron dynamics [20,21]. A prominent example is orbital tomography [16] where the form factor of the outer-most shell (like most noble gas atoms) is challenging. The particularly large 4$d$ recombination matrix elements (in comparison with the 5$p$ matrix elements) lead to an order-of-magnitude enhancement in the HHG spectrum. We show that all five 4$d_m$ orbitals ($m = 0, ±1, ±2$) contribute to the HHG spectrum, and it is not sufficient to focus only on the orbital aligned in the direction of the laser polarization (i.e., only 4$d_0$). When so done, the resulting HHG enhancement region would be 20 eV too low with only a 15 eV spectral width.

We show that the giant enhancement in the HHG spectrum is truly a collective many-body effect involving the 4$d$ electrons via the two-body electron-electron interaction. Our results are based on solving the many-body Schrödinger equation for atomic xenon with 18 active electrons (six in the 5$p$ shell, two in the 5$s$ shell, and ten in the 4$d$ shell) in the presence of a strong-laser field with the time-dependent configuration-interaction singles (TDCIS) approach. The enhancement disappears when many-body interactions with the 4$d$ orbitals are excluded from our calculations and when the photoelectron is allowed to recombine only with the 5$p$ orbitals.

The particularly large 4$d$ recombination matrix elements (in comparison with the 5$p$ matrix elements) lead to an order-of-magnitude enhancement in the HHG spectrum. We show that all five 4$d_m$ orbitals ($m = 0, ±1, ±2$) contribute to the HHG spectrum, and it is not sufficient to focus only on the orbital aligned in the direction of the laser polarization (i.e., only 4$d_0$). When so done, the resulting HHG enhancement region would be 20 eV too low with only a 15 eV spectral width.

So far, other time-dependent many-body approaches in the strong-field regime are not able to perform calculations on xenon with NIR wavelengths of around 1.5 μm. Most many-body approaches focus on two-electron model systems [29–31], helium [32–37], beryllium [37], or H$_2$ [38]. The application to larger systems with more than two electrons in the outer-most shell (like most noble gas atoms) is challenging. The time-dependent R-matrix approach has already been applied to larger systems like argon [39,40] with relative short wavelengths (up to 390 nm) [41].

The challenge in the extension to a long-wavelength driver is twofold. First, the spatial extension of the electron motion in the continuum increases with $\lambda^2$. Second, the kinetic energy the electron gains in the strong-field increases also with $\lambda^2$. Taking both aspects together, we find that the size of the numerical grid grows with $\lambda^4$. 
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This results in a large one-particle basis which strongly limits the complexity of correlation effects that can be captured in these long-wavelength-driven many-electron systems. In most HHG calculations, the single-active electron approximation is used where a one-electron problem is solved without any kind of correlation effects [23].

Our many-body TDCIS approach [42] has been described in detail in previous publications [25,43] and has been successfully applied to perturbative [44,45] and nonperturbative [25,46,47] multiphoton processes with photon energies from the x-ray down to the NIR regime. The TDCIS wave function ansatz reads

$$\Psi(t) = \alpha_0(t)|\Phi_0\rangle + \sum_{a,i} \alpha_i^a(t)|\Phi_i^a\rangle,$$

where $|\Phi_0\rangle$ is the Hartree-Fock ground state and $|\Phi_i^a\rangle = c_i^a\tilde{c}_i^a|\Phi_0\rangle$ are the singly excited configurations with one electron removed from the initially occupied orbital $i$ and placed in the initially unoccupied orbital $a$. The resulting equations of motion for the CIS coefficients are given by

$$i\partial_t \alpha_0(t) = -E(t)\sum_{a,i} \langle \Phi_0|\hat{z}|\Phi_i^a\rangle\alpha_i^a(t),$$

$$i\partial_t \alpha_i^a(t) = \langle \Phi_i^a|\hat{H}_0|\Phi_i^a\rangle\alpha_i^a(t) + \sum_{b,j} \langle \Phi_i^a|\hat{H}_1|\Phi_j^b\rangle\alpha_j^b(t) - E(t)\left(\alpha_0(t)\langle \Phi_i^a|\hat{z}|\Phi_0\rangle + \sum_{b,j} \langle \Phi_i^a|\hat{z}|\Phi_j^b\rangle\alpha_j^b(t)\right),$$

where $\hat{H}_0$ includes all one-electron operators including the mean-field potential $\hat{V}_{\text{MF}}$. The light-matter interaction for linearly polarized pulses is given by $-E(t)\hat{z}$, and all electron-electron interactions that cannot be described by the one-particle mean-field potential $\hat{V}_{\text{MF}}$ [48] are captured by $\hat{H}_1 = 1/2\sum_{i,j,\ell} \tilde{r}_i\tilde{r}_j - \hat{V}_{\text{MF}}$. The TDCIS approach includes many-body physics that goes beyond an independent particle picture [49,50]. Specifically, interchannel interactions are included where the excited or ionized electron changes the state of the parent ion by exchanging energy. We will see that exactly these interchannel interactions lead to the enhancement in the HHG spectrum.

To reveal the collective resonance in xenon, centered around 100 eV, we have chosen an HHG cutoff energy of 158 eV, which is reached by a strong-field NIR pulse with a driving wavelength of 1.5 µm, a pulse duration of 10 fs at FWHM, and a peak intensity of $1.7 \times 10^{14}$ W/cm². Numerically, this requires a box size of $250a_0$ and a maximum angular momentum of $L = 100$ for describing the field-driven electron in the continuum (more parameters can be found in Ref. [51]). The description of the electron-electron interaction, which leads to the many-body correlation effects, is especially challenging due to the size of the Hamiltonian matrix (260,000 × 260,000) and the numerical effort to calculate its action on the wave function [see Eq. (2)].

A schematic of the HHG process for atomic xenon is shown in Fig. 1. The first two steps are the same as in the well-known three-step model [52–54]. In step 1, the $5p_0$ electron is predominantly tunnel ionized by the NIR strong-field pulse, and in step 2, the electron moves in the continuum driven by the strong-field pulse. The third step, where the electron recombines with the parent ion, can happen in two ways: either (3.1) the electron recombines as usual with the $5p_0$ orbital, or (3.2) the returning electron and the ion exchange energy via the electron-electron interaction just before recombination so that the electron recombines with an excited ionic state where the hole is instead in the 4d shell.

The electron-electron Coulomb interaction mediating this exchange of energy can also change the magnetic $m$ state of the electrons involved. Therefore, all five 4dₘ orbitals with $m = -2, \ldots, 2$ are equally important (as seen in the later discussion). The two-body character of the electron-electron interaction involved in step 3.2 exists only in many-body, but not in single-electron, theories.

Within the TDCIS theory, the interchannel interaction responsible for step 3.2 can be turned off or on for each calculation. In this way, the electron is allowed to recombine either only via step 3.1 or via both steps (3.1 and 3.2), respectively. Besides the physical mechanisms, the active orbitals participating in the HHG process can also be controlled.

We consider three different scenarios. (1) All 9 orbitals [55] in the 4d, 5s, and 5p shells are active and all interchannel and intrachannel interactions (included in TDCIS) are allowed. (2) Only the orbitals aligned with the laser polarization (4d₀, 5s, 5p₀) are active and all interchannel and intrachannel interactions are allowed. (3) All 9 orbitals in the 4d, 5s, and 5p shells are active and only intrachannel interactions are allowed. Even though the first two scenarios are artificially simplified by ignoring certain interactions are orbitals, they are of high educational purpose since the direct comparison with the most complete third scenario will enable us to identify the underlying mechanisms.

Figure 2 shows the HHG spectra [56] for these three cases. When all 9 orbitals are active and only intrachannel interactions are included (dotted red line), the HHG yield has the typical form of an atomic HHG spectrum with a flat plateau region up to the cutoff energy—no enhancement can be seen. This result does not change when only the 5p shell is considered (not shown) indicating that the direct contributions from 4d and 5s are negligible.

By including the many-body interchannel interactions in the calculations, a strong enhancement of up to 1 order of magnitude in the HHG yield appears (solid blue and dashed green lines). Depending on which orbitals are active, the width and center of the enhancement region are quite different. When only laser-aligned 4d₀, 5s, and 5p₀ orbitals are active, the enhancement is located in the energy region of 60–90 eV compared with an enhancement.
ranging from 60 to 125 eV when all electrons in the 4d, 5s, and 5p shells are active.

The effect of interchannel coupling is dominated by the coupling between the 5p\(_0\) hole created in step 1 and the 4d\(_m\) orbitals. Unlike the interaction with the light field, where the magnetic quantum number \(m\) of each electron cannot change, the electron-electron interaction can change the \(m\) state. Only the overall quantum number \(M = \sum_p m_p\) of the entire \(N\)-electron system is conserved but the \(m_p\) for the individual electron \(p\) is not conserved. Considering only the 4d\(_0\) orbital is not sufficient as this leads to enhancements which are much too narrow (as shown in Fig. 2).

The position and the width of the giant enhancement in the HHG spectrum (see Fig. 2) is not arbitrary and can be explained by examining the photoionization cross sections (PICS). The close connection between cross sections and the recombination cross sections, and therefore, to the HHG spectra, has been established by the quantitative rescattering theory \[57,58\] and successfully applied to various systems. One aspect that has not been explicitly discussed is whether the partial or total PICS should be used to establish this connection. Especially in the case where the returning electron is highly energetic and can induce ionic excitations such that more than one occupied orbital is involved, it is not clear whether the partial or the total PICS should be considered.

In the following, we show it is always the partial PICS that should be used \[59\]. Figure 3 shows the partial 5p\(_0\) (upper panel) and the total (lower panel) photoionization cross sections \[56\] of atomic xenon for all three CIS models. By comparing the cross sections for each theoretical model with its corresponding HHG spectrum.
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**FIG. 1** (color online). Schematic illustration of the HHG process in xenon (spin states are excluded). (1) The electron is tunnel-ionized mainly from the 5p\(_0\) orbital by the strong-field driving pulse. (2) The electron is driven back to the ion by the oscillating electric field. In the third step, the electron recombines with the ion in two different ways: (3.1) the electron recombines with the very same hole that was generated in step 1, or (3.2) the electron exchanges energy with the ion by promoting an inner shell electron from the 4d shell in the 5p\(_0\) hole via Coulomb interaction before recombining in a more tightly bound 4d orbital. All five 4d\(_m\) orbitals \((m = -2, \ldots, 2)\) contribute to the Coulomb interaction.
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**FIG. 2** (color online). The HHG spectrum of xenon for different theoretical models: (solid blue) the full TDCIS model (intrachannel + interchannel interactions) with all orbitals in the 4d, 5s, and 5p shells active, (dashed green) the full TDCIS model with only \(m = 0\) electrons in the 4d, 5s, and 5p shells active, and (dotted red) the intrachannel TDCIS model, excluding interchannel coupling, with all orbitals in the 4d, 5s, and 5p shells active. The difference between the two models including interchannel effects and the model including only the intrachannel interactions is highlighted with the according colors.
(shown in Fig. 2), one clearly sees that the strong enhancement in the HHG yield is, indeed, also present in the photoionization cross section. A careful comparison reveals that only the partial $5p_0$ PICS (see Fig. 3) can explain the HHG spectrum of all three CIS models. Also, when considering the recombination step, one finds that the initial conditions of the ionic state (having dominantly a $5p_0$ hole; see step 1 in Fig. 1) do only coincide with the partial and not the total PICS. Particularly when no interchannel interactions are allowed, the total cross section still shows an enhancement due to the continuum resonance of the direct $4d$ ionization channel [60], which is totally absent in the HHG spectrum.

For the total PICS, the ion is produced in any energetically allowed state. The inverse process assumes, therefore, that the ion has up on recombination a hole in any of these accessible orbitals. This is, however, not the case due to tunnel ionization and all orbitals that are not accessed via tunnel ionization can only contribute indirectly to the HHG process but never directly (which stands in contradiction with the use of the total PICS).

Finally, we consider the electron spectrum of the returning electron $W(\omega)$. A common assumption is that the spectrum of the returning electron is universal and not system-dependent [26,57]. This sounds especially reasonable for atomic systems because for already small distances [61], $r \approx 5a_0$, the electron “experiences” the parent ion as a singly charged spherically symmetric object making the dynamics of the electron in step 2 (see Fig. 1) system independent. However, recombination happens very close to the atom [61], $r \leq 3a_0$, where many-body effects like interchannel interactions can no longer be neglected. This short-range potential is highly system- and state-dependent and it is very likely that it also affects the spectrum of the returning electron.

We study this approximation by comparing the spectrum of the returning electron for the three different CIS models (see Fig. 4). Each CIS model describes different electron-electron interactions and, consequently, different short-range potentials. For large distances, all three models turn into a long-range Coulomb potential, $-1/r$.

The three electron spectra, $W(\omega) = S(\omega)/\sigma(\omega)$ [57,62], shown in Fig. 4, agree well with each other above and below the giant resonance of the $4d$ shell, where $S(\omega)$ is the HHG spectrum and $\sigma(\omega)$ is the partial $5p_0$ PICS of the corresponding models. In the region of the giant enhancement ($60–140$ eV) the spectra start to differ from each other and reach a maximum disagreement around $120$ eV. The enhancement seen in the HHG spectra and in the cross sections persists also in the photoelectron spectrum indicating that the enhancement in the HHG yield cannot be solely explained by the modified cross sections. It demonstrates that the modified electron-electron interaction influences not just the recombination matrix elements but also the electron spectrum, which in the region of $r \leq 3a_0$ is sensitive to the modified short-range potential. Note, however, that the electron spectra in Fig. 4 are plotted in a linear scale and the differences in the electron spectra are smaller than in the HHG spectra, which are plotted logarithmically (see Fig. 2).

In conclusion, we performed ab initio many-body calculations based on the TDCIS approach in the strong-field regime, which is applicable to closed-shell atoms as large as xenon. By limiting the classes of electronic excitations to singles we are able to describe up to 18 active electrons and the interactions among them.

We identified the many-body interchannel interactions between the $5p$ orbitals and all five $4d$ orbitals to be the underlying mechanism behind the giant enhancement in the HHG spectrum of atomic xenon. This collective

![FIG. 3 (color online). The partial photoionization cross sections of the $5p_0$ orbitals and the total photoionization cross section of all orbitals for the three different theoretical models (see Fig. 2).](image1)

![FIG. 4 (color online). The returning electron spectrum $W(\omega)$ calculated by using the factorization $W(\omega) = S(\omega)/\sigma(\omega)$, where $S(\omega)$ is the HHG spectrum and $\sigma(\omega)$ is the photoionization cross section. The electron spectra shown for the three different theoretical models (see Fig. 2) illustrate the system dependence of the returning electron wave packet—especially when interchannel interactions are not negligible.](image2)
excitation happens only when the returning electron is close by and can exchange energy. Limiting the electron-electron interaction to the aligned ionic states $5p_0^1$ and $4d_0^1$ (as is usually done in step 1 of the HHG process) is insufficient and leads to quantitatively incorrect enhancements. As demonstrated, the details of the short-range potential can also affect the returning electronic wave packet and, consequently, the spectrum of the recombining electron. This seems to be the case especially when many-body effects start to kick in. To understand the detailed structure of the HHG spectrum, all aspects of the many-body effects have to be considered, which (as it seems) cannot be fully summarized by a corrected cross section.

Our results demonstrate the need for many-body theories in the strong-field regime. For molecular systems multi-orbital and many-body effects are even more prominent [17,18,63] and long-wavelength drivers are of high interest for molecular tomography [19,64,65]. First steps in combining many-body approaches and strong-field physics in molecules have been made [66–70], but much more progress is needed before we will be able to understand how we can control electronic motion in molecules as we start to understand it in atomic systems [10,11].
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[41] H. van der Hart (private communication).
[50] For the radial grid 1000 grid points are used with a mapping parameter of $\zeta = 1$. The complex absorbing potential starts at $220a_0$ and has a strength of $5 \times 10^{-3}$. Orbitals with an energy larger than 15 a.u. are omitted resulting into around 430 states per angular momentum.
[55] Excluding the spin degree of freedom.
[56] The HHG spectra and the photoionization cross sections are calculated using the velocity form of the dipole transition [3].
[59] In the case, the HHG process involves only one orbital at all times (as in the single-active electron approximation), the use of the partial PICS has been already stated in Ref. [57].
[61] These estimations are based on the radial moments $\langle \rho_{n} | \rho_{2} | \phi_{i} \rangle$ of the occupied Hartree-Fock orbitals $\phi_{i}$.
[62] By using this formula, we are able to compare directly with the statements made in Ref. [26].